



Global Change and the Future Ocean

Interpreting climate change in coastal Alaska using traditional and scientific ecological knowledge

William Gerald Ambrose_Jr_, Lisa Clough, Jeffrey Johnson, Michael Greenacre, David Griffith, Michael Carroll and Alex Whiting

Journal Name: Frontiers in Marine Science

ISSN: 2296-7745

Article type: Original Research Article

First received on: 12 Jun 2014

Frontiers website link: www.frontiersin.org

Interpreting climate change in coastal Alaska using traditional and scientific ecological knowledge

- William G. Ambrose, Jr.*^{1,2}, Lisa M. Clough³, Jeffrey C. Johnson⁴, Michael Greenacre^{2,5}, David
- 4 Griffith⁶, Michael L. Carroll², Alex Whiting⁷
- 5 (1) Department of Biology, Bates College, Lewiston, ME, 04240 USA
- 6 (2) Akvaplan-niva, FRAM- High North Research Centre for Climate and the Environment,
- 7 Tromsø, Norway
- 8 (3) Division of Polar Programs, National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA, 22230 USA
- 9 (4) Department of Sociology and Institute for Coastal Science and Policy, East Carolina
- 10 University, Greenville, NC, 27858 USA
- 11 (5) Department of Economics and Business, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, and Barcelona Graduate
- 12 School of Economics, Barcelona, Spain
- 13 (6) Department of Anthropology and Institute for Coastal Science and Policy, East Carolina
- 14 University, Greenville, NC, 27858USA
- 15 (7) Native Village of Kotzebue, Kotzebue, AK, 99752 USA
- 16

1

2

- 17 Correspondence:
- 18 William G. Ambrose, Jr.
- 19 Department of Biology
- 20 Bates College
- 21 Lewiston, Maine 04240 USA
- 22 wambrose@bates.edu

23 24

Abstract

- 25 Humans who interact directly with local ecosystems possess traditional ecological knowledge
- that enables them to detect and predict ecosystem changes. Humans who use scientific
- ecological methods can use species such as mollusks that lay down annual growth rings to detect
- past environmental variation and use correlative tools to make predictions about future change.
- 29 We evaluated local climate change in the coastal ecosystems of Kotzebue, Alaska, using
- traditional ecological knowledge shared by local Iñupiaq, combined with growth histories of two
- 31 species of mollusks, each at a different trophic level. A combination of the Arctic Oscillation and
- total Arctic ice coverage, and summer air temperature and summer precipitation explained 79-
- 33 80% of the interannual variability in growth of the suspension feeding Greenland cockle
- 34 (Serripes groenlandicus) and the predatory whelk (Neptunea hero) respectively, indicating these
- mollusks are good biomonitors in coastal Alaska for both regional and local conditions. The
- 36 climate change experts within the community were the elders and the fishers, and they perceive a
- 37 fundamental change in the climate that could not be resolved from the rapid increase in mollusk
- growth rates in the mid-1990s alone. We conclude that the coastal climate change of the last few
- decades is different from the decadal oscillations that have happened before. Combining
- 40 traditional and scientific ecological knowledge provides greater insight than either approach

alone and offers a powerful way to document change in an area that otherwise lacks widespread quantitative monitoring.

Key words climate change, scientific ecological knowledge, traditional ecological knowledge, sclerochronology, knowledge networks, Arctic

44 45 46

43

Introduction

47 48

49

50

51

52 53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

61

62

The average atmospheric temperature in the Arctic has increased twice as fast as the average temperature for the rest of the world over the past 50 years, and is predicted to continue to increase rapidly over the next 100 years (Arctic Climate Impact Assessment, 2005). The marine and terrestrial ecosystem changes accompanying these rising temperatures have especially strong impacts on the humans who depend on these ecosystems for their survival and quality of life (Morison et al., 2000; Huntington et al., 2012). Not all species will be affected equally; rather some species are more sensitive to rapid climate change than others (Wassmann et al., 2011), and within those sensitive species, some individuals are especially sensitive. While the emphasis on sensitivity is typically focused on an organism's ability to withstand change, there is also a component of sensitivity related to the ability to detect or record change. We assert that some humans who interact with ecosystems are more attuned to observe changes than others, and are therefore able to report more accurately on such changes (Davis and Wagner, 2003). Whereas many studies of climate change only use key indicators that are physical in nature (e.g., atmospheric concentrations of carbon dioxide, sea surface temperature), we advocate here for combining knowledge from key ecosystem components and key human observers in an integrated approach to monitoring and assessing environmental change.

63 64 65

66

67

68

69 70

71 72

73

74 75

76

77 78

79 80

Traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) accumulates in individuals who regularly interact with the natural environment, often via a subsistence lifestyle, making it possible to discern changes occurring over several human generations. TEK can provide information on time scales of 100 years or more (Davis and Wagner, 2003; Shackeroff et al., 2011), and people with high levels of TEK often successfully predict the behaviors of fish, mammals, and other higher trophic level organisms by monitoring how natural resources respond to natural and anthropogenic conditions, and tracking environmental change over time (Menzies and Butler, 2006; Griffith, 2006) (Fig. 1). TEK derived from subsistence and commercial resource extraction activities is especially sensitive to environmental changes, as success in obtaining resources is tied to an ability to predict and respond to changing conditions (Huntington, 2000). Further, TEK can be studied using structured methodologies that afford the systematic documentation of cultural beliefs about species, climate, food webs, and other dimensions of natural environments (Boster and Johnson, 1989; García Quijano, 2007). This approach allows a comparison with, and integration into, scientific ecological knowledge (SEK) models. Incorporating TEK into more traditional SEK studies can improve the breadth of research findings while also providing legitimacy to scientific findings for local communities, broadening the knowledge set that local communities can draw on as they develop effective responses to changing ecosystems.

81 82 83

84

We used both TEK and SEK to understand coastal climate change in Kotzebue Sound, Alaska. Our scientific knowledge of the system was based on the growth patterns of two

common mollusks. There is a close relationship between benthic and water column processes in the Arctic (Grebmeier *et al.*, 1988; Ambrose and Renaud, 1995; Dunton *et al.*, 2005), making long-lived, sessile, benthic organisms particularly good biomonitors of climate change on Arctic shelves (Kortsch *et al.* 2012; Mann *et al.*, 2013). A wide range of marine climate conditions can be reconstructed from the growth and shell chemistry of mollusks (Richardson, 2001; Wanamaker *et al.*, 2011). In Kotzebue Sound, Alaska, we used the shell growth of the suspension feeding Greenland cockle *Serripes gronelandicus* and the predatory whelk *Neptunea hero* to provide a temporally consistent and uninterrupted record of change over decadal time scales (Fig. 1).

Ducklow *et al.* (2009) maintain that attribution of longer-term changes in marine ecosystems is best assessed with a minimum 50-year dataset, and they assert that documenting a regime shift takes at least a decade of data. Mollusks shells can provide high-resolution seasonal records and while at least one species is known to live in excess of 500 years (Butler *et al.*, 2013), most live on the order of 50 years or less (Gröcke and Gillikin, 2008). The mollusks we examined lived 15 to 20 years, so use of TEK was necessary to distinguish climate change from a climate oscillation in the mollusk growth data. We combined the derived growth patterns of mollusks that reflected year-to-year changes in the environment with TEK shared by Iñupiaq experts, who track changes over relatively longer time periods from upper trophic levels where subsistence harvesting activities are concentrated. Combining these two forms of knowledge resulted in a much more comprehensive assessment of local climate change than either type of knowledge could alone.

Material and Methods

Study Site and Organisms

Kotzebue, Alaska (67° 00′ N, 163° 00′ W), is a town of approximately 3,500 people, around 85% of whom are Iñupiaq the majority are enrolled in the Native Village of Kotzebue (NVK), the federally recognized tribal government. Tribal organizations and corporations, federal, state, tribal and city government, a hospital, school, and service and transportation industries, provide the bulk of the employment in the community. Many Kotzebue households are still dependent on caribou, seal, salmon, sheefish, berries, and other flora and fauna for food, clothing, crafts manufacture, and cultural wellness. The town's population fluctuates seasonally, with many families residing in hunting and fishing camps at various times of the year. Because subsistence is so much a part of the local economy, most jobs provide paid subsistence leave. This institutional support helps to allow hunting and fishing activities to remain central to the Kotzebue population.

Kotzebue Sound is a shallow (average water depth 10-18 m) embayment in the southeast Chukchi Sea. The area is characterized by long, severely cold winters and short, cool summers. Sea ice is typically present from October to June, leaving the sound ice-free for a maximum duration of four months. Sediments, poorly to very-poorly sorted, are primarily muddy sand to sandy mud, with a minor portion of gravel (Feder *et al.*, 1991). The predominant currents are counterclockwise, with clockwise circulation occasionally occurring at shallower depths (Kinder

et al., 1977). During the period of sea ice formation, cold, high salinity bottom water flows out of the Sound via the deepest (28 m) channel.

The shallow depth of the Sound, the influence of two major rivers (the Noatak and Kobuk), and numerous connections to brackish lagoons result in large annual changes in both the temperature and salinity of the Sound's near shore waters. A temperature and salinity logger we moored in 3 meters of water near the town of Kotzebue recorded an annual water temperature range of -0.8°C to 15°C and a salinity range of 0.1 ppt. to 35.09 ppt. The mollusks used in this study were collected at ca. 10 m water depth near Cape Krusenstern (67° 04.9' N, 163° 41.5' W) and Cape Blossom (66° 45.1' N, 162° 39.2' W). We measured water column properties at collection sites at the time of sampling with a CTD. The mean bottom water temperature during sampling for the years 2002, 2003, and 2004 was 5.5°C (range 4.8-7.3°C) at Cape Krusenstern and 10.2°C (range 6.6-13.6°C) at Cape Blossom. Bottom salinity averaged 28.94 ppt. (range 27.34-29.92 ppt.) at Cape Krusenstern and 21.99 ppt. (range 18.15 to 27.9 ppt.) at Cape Blossom.

S. groenlandicus (hereafter Serripes) is a large suspension feeding cockle that has a circumpolar distribution (Kafanov, 1980; Kozteyn et al., 1990). Throughout the Arctic it is an important food for walruses (Fisher and Stewart, 1997; Born et al., 2007), bearded seals (Lowry et al., 1980; Finley and Evans, 1983) and bottom-feeding birds (Merkel et al., 2007). N. heros (hereafter Neptunea), is a large predatory whelk that is common in the Beaufort, Chukchi and Bering Seas (Wagner, 1977; Feder et al., 1991; Feder et al., 2007). It preys on mollusks, including Serripes and other infaunal taxa (WGA per. obs.). Although whelks are sometimes found in the stomachs of marine mammals (Finely and Evans, 1983) they are not common prey for marine mammals.

Standard Growth Index for Serripes and Neptunea

Serripes were collected during July in 2002 (*N*=16 individuals), 2003 (*N*=6), and 2004 (*N*=7) using an otter trawl (2 m mouth) fitted with a tickler chain from approximately 10 m water depth off Cape Krusenstern in 2002 and 2003 and off Cape Blossom in 2004. The trawl was towed at 2 knots parallel to shore for 20-25 minutes. Only live cockles with undamaged shells were used for analyses. *Neptunea* were collected by two means: 1) using the same trawl used to collect *Serripes*, and 2) provided to us by local fishers from their crab traps. In 2003, *Neptunea* (*N*=27) were trawled from the same areas off Cape Krusenstern and Cape Blossom as *Serripes*. In 2006, all individuals (*N*= 80) were collected from crab traps placed 2-6 nautical miles off Cape Krusenstern. A total of 29 *Serripes* and 103 *Neptunea* were used in our subsequent analyses.

We only used the hard parts of the mollusks. Both species were removed from their shells either immediately after collection or following freezing and thawing. Shells of both species were measured and the opercula of *Neptunea* removed from the foot, washed, and dried.

Serripes deposit annual lines, which appear as thin dark lines deposited during the slow growth periods in the winter, separated by thicker light bands on the external shell surface representing the faster summer growth. These lines have been verified as annual in Serripes in both the Chukchi Sea (Khim et al., 2003) and in two fjords on Svalbard (Ambrose et al., 2012).

The distances between the ventral edges of successive growth lines along the line of maximum growth (shell height) were measured with a digital caliper to the nearest 0.01 mm. We excluded growth beyond the last growth line in analyses because this represents an incomplete growth year.

The articulated growth steps, or striate, on the internal face of the opercula of *Neptunea* species and other genera of Buccinidae correspond to the summer growth season, and the interrupting depressions to winter quiescence (Richardson, 2005). The forward edges of each ridge are therefore annuli, representing the end of each year's growth. While we did not validate the annual deposition of striate in *Neptunea heros* for Kotzebue Sound, we presume the same pattern that occurs in other *Neptunea* species (Richardson, 2005). Annual growth of each *Neptunea* was thus measured as the distance between each annulus. As described above for *Serripes*, we used a digital caliper to measure the distance between each annulus to the nearest 0.01 mm and excluded growth beyond the last annulus.

Annual mollusk growth declines with age, so it is necessary to standardize growth increments within an individual and among individuals of different ages before growth can be compared among years. Each growth increment can be assigned to a calendar year because we collected all individuals live and lines are deposited annually. The same methods were applied to Serripes and Neptunea data. We followed the methods of Ambrose et al. (2006) to remove the ontogentic change in growth rate. Briefly, we used the von Bertalanffy growth function and its first derivative with respect to time to derive a predicted change in shell height for each age based on all individuals in the population. Then we calculated the expected increase in shell height for each individual for each calendar year of its life. Finally, we divided the measured shell growth for each calendar year by the expected growth for that year to generate a standardized growth index (SGI). This standardization process removes the ontogenetic changes in growth and equalizes the variance for the entire series (Fritts 1976). Once annual changes in shell or operculum growth were standardized, we calculated the mean SGI for each calendar year from all individuals. The result is an annually-resolved growth record for the Serripes population, reflecting relatively better and poorer growth years compared to the expected von Bertalanffy fit of the data. An SGI greater than 1 indicates a better than average year for growth, while a value less than 1 reflects a worse than average growth year.

Climatological and Meteorological Data

We examined two Arctic climate indices with potential influence on the region: the Arctic Climate Regime Index (ACRI), and the Arctic Oscillation (AO). Recently, Proshutinsky has refined and re-evaluated the ACRI, resulting in some slight changes to the originally published ACRI values (Johnson *et al.*, 1999). Data for the AO were obtained from http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/precip/CWlink/daily_ao_index/ao_index.html. We also related growth patterns to three regional indices that influence North Pacific ecosystems: the North Pacific Index NPI-Aleutian Low, reflecting the intensity of the mean winter (November through March) Aleutian low pressure cell; the Siberian/Alaskan Index, the difference between mean winter (December through March) pressure anomalies in eastern Siberia and the Yukon (Alaska); and the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), a recurring pattern

of variability in climate with pan-Pacific effects on marine ecosystems (Overland and Wang 2005; Overland *et al.*, 2008). For the PDO, we examined the impact of the annual PDO and the summer (June through September) and winter (December through March) indices. The North Pacific Index-Aleutian Low, Siberian/Alaskan Index, and the PDO values were obtained from http://www.beringclimate.noaa.gov/data/.

Meteorological data for the Kotzebue Airport were obtained from the Western Regional Climate Center (http://www.wrcc.dri.edu). We used mean winter (December through March) and summer (June through September) values for wind and mean summer, winter, and annual values for air temperature and precipitation.

Local ice conditions were estimated from the data point nearest Kotzebue Sound collected by the Nimbus-7 SMMR and DMSP SSM/I passive microwave satellite and obtained from the National Snow and Ice Data Center (http://nsidc.org/data/seaice/pm.html). The spatial resolution of the satellite imagery is 25×25 km, and the cell used for the ice analysis was located at 67° 5.4' N, 163° 41.5' W. The temporal resolution is daily from 1990 to 2005. For our analysis we used the Julian date of freeze-up and break-up defined as the day when ice concentration first falls above or below, respectively, 50%. The threshold used to define ice-free days was ice cover < 25%.

Data on total Arctic-wide spatial extent (km²) of pack ice are provided by the U.S. National Snow and Ice Data Center (http://www.nsidc.com/data/seaice_index/). We used these data to determine several measures of regional ice conditions: annual average extent of total ice in the Arctic, maximum ice extent (typically in March), autumn ice (average October through December ice coverage), ice coverage the previous 6 months (January through June of the year preceding growth), and an ice anomaly index (percent difference between the average annual total ice coverage and the average Arctic ice cover from 1980-2006).

Mollusk Statistical Analysis

To verify if averaging the growth rates of the individuals sampled was warranted, we computed the Cronbach α measure of reliability (Bland and Altman, 1997) for the available growth data on a common set of years (1990-2003). The reliability coefficient measures the homogeneity of the mollusk growth rates. Cronbach α was 0.81 for *Serripes*; values of α above 0.7 are considered fairly reliable. The growth of individual *Neptunea* was remarkably homogenous with a very high Cronbach α measure of reliability (0.94).

Once we established that the cockle and whelk samples were homogenous, we combined the growth data into an annual mean value as a more reliable indicator of annual growth rates than what was reflected by the individuals alone. We calculated Pearson correlation coefficients in order to determine basic pairwise relationships between the SGIs of *Serripes* and *Neptunea* and environmental and physical variables. Annual means were compared, and we also investigated the time-dependence between data in consecutive years, leading us to incorporate two data transformations: 2-year running means of environmental data to reduce the magnitude of interannual variability of environmental data, and a 1-year lag to account for the time it may

take for physical processes to be reflected in shell growth. We calculated weighted correlation coefficients, with the value for each year being weighted proportionally to the sample size for that year.

We used linear mixed modeling (for example, Pinheiro and Bates, 2000), as implemented in the R package nlme, to identify significant relationships between, in this case, individual growth rates and all forms of the environmental variables. In these models the individuals define the random effect, and an autoregressive lag-1 correlation is incorporated into the modeling at the individual level.

Significance of the relationships with a single predictor (112 in all), were obtained as a first overview. Since we are investigating many relationships, we used the step-down adjustment of Benjamini and Hochberg (1995) to control the false positive rate, with an overall significance level of 0.05 in the case of each species.

All subsets of two predictor variables were then investigated (over 6,000 models) as well as all subsets of three predictors (over 200,000 models) in an attempt to detect optimum combinations of variables. For the best subsets, interaction effects were also investigated. Models were selected based on the AIC criterion, which penalizes the number of parameters in the model. In order to measure the success of the model in recovering mean growth rates, the predicted growth rates from the models were correlated with mean observed growth rates and then squared to give an \mathbb{R}^2 measure similar to that obtained in regression. Weighted correlation coefficients were calculated as described above.

Assessing Traditional Ecological Knowledge

There were two phases of sampling for the TEK portion of the study (Johnson and Weller, 2002). In Phase I, in-depth interviews were conducted with a non-probability sample of Iñupiaq hunters and fishers in the region who were identified as being knowledgeable about the Kotzebue Sound ecosystem, including hunters and fishers from the villages of Kotzebue and Noatak). In Phase II, the sample consisted of the top 79 hunters and fishers as determined from hunting and fishing records provided by the Native Village of Kotzebue (NVK). The interview protocol for the study was approved by both the East Carolina University Institutional Review Board and the NVK and written consent was obtained from all interviewees.

The Phase I open-ended interviews focused on individuals' uses of local natural resources and the behavior of marine organisms, including their views about how natural resources functioned and changed over time, yielding 25 ecological narratives. During these interviews, respondents routinely spoke of the ways that various features of the natural environment had either changed or not changed over their lifetimes.

The narratives were thematically coded and common themes and associated propositions were compiled. The coded propositions were then converted to propositions in an agree/disagree format (Johnson and Weller, 2002). For example, the original quote from the narratives "...we look for clear ice, good ice that's not dirty...There's never ugruks on gray ice, dirty ice, what we

call dirty ice..." was written as "When hunting ugruk you need clear ice, good white ice that's not dirty, ugruks avoid gray ice or dirty ice." We developed a list of 102 propositions, based on highly shared themes in the 25 narratives, and subsequently asked the 79 Iñupiaq hunter-fishers (Phase II sample) whether or not they agreed or disagreed with each of the propositions.

Lists of agree-disagree propositions are central to eliciting what is called "cultural consensus"; or consensus among informants regarding specific domains of knowledge. It is formally called the Cultural Consensus Model (CCM), and is a way to understand culture as a matter of belief and knowledge agreement (Romney et al., 1986). One important element of culture is the idea of shared understanding and the CCM allows for an assessment of the extent to which individuals within a culture have a shared understanding of a set of beliefs. Using factor analysis on the transposed data matrix, where the variables are the respondents rather than the propositions, the method reveals the extent to which respondents have a shared understanding regarding an overall set of beliefs, where they do not, and which respondents are more "culturally competent" regarding the consensus held by the group (i.e., which respondents more consistently agree or disagree with the majority or culturally-shared understanding). For respondents' dichotomous responses (agree/disagree) to fit the model, the rule of thumb is the ratio of the first to second eigenvalue in a minimum residual factor analysis should be greater than 3, there should be no negative factor scores on the first factor, and the mean of the factor scores should be > 0.5. Table 1 provides the propositions for the change domain (a subset of the 102 propositions) and the culturally correct answers derived from a Bayesian weighting method described by Romney et al. (1987). Also included for the first five propositions are the responses reflecting the change index described below. Table 2 lists the 37 propositions (out of the 102) used in the analysis of the ugruk knowledge domain for comparison.

We further derived a change index while investigating the relationship between expertise and normative cultural ecosystem beliefs. The 35 change propositions (Table 1) were intercorrelated and subjected to minimum residual factor analysis. The first factor contained five propositions with high factor scores (Table 3 and the first five propositions of Table 1). These five propositions were related to increases in water temperature, earlier salmon returns, increases in flounder catches, trout leaving earlier, and increases in the Sound crab populations. Responses to the 5 change propositions (1, 0) were summed across respondents to produce the Climate Change Knowledge Index (CCKI). The higher the index score, the higher the belief in ecosystem changes possibly due to unprecedented climate change.

 During Phase II interviews, we also elicited information that allowed us to further define the fishers' and hunters' knowledge networks. The fishers' knowledge network was developed by asking the 79 respondents to name the five individuals they thought were most knowledgeable about fish and fishing in the Kotzebue Sound (Fig. 2A). The hunters' knowledge network was derived similarly (Fig. 2B). This resulted in two n×m matrices of respondents (rows) reports of whom they perceived as knowledgeable about fishing/hunting (columns). Thus, the matrices consisted of the responses of the 79 respondents who could nominate any of the 79 interviewees, or hunters or fishers outside the 79, yielding two nxm matrices (not necessarily all the same hunters/fishers in the rows as in the columns). These two-mode networks were transformed into bipartite graphs and symmetrized. This yielded two n×n matrices where the *i*, *j*th entry is the

presence or absence of a knowledge relation between two respondents. Betweenness centrality (Freeman, 1977) was used to determine knowledge experts in the network. The definition of betweenness centrality is:

$$b_j = \sum_{i,k} \frac{g_{ijk}}{g_{ik}}$$

where b_j is the betweenness centrality of node j and g_{ijk} is the number of geodesic paths (shortest paths) connecting i and k through j and g_{ik} is the total number of geodesic paths connecting i and k (Borgatti et al., 2013). The measure, as used here, is normalized by dividing b_j by the maximum possible betweenness thereby expressing the measure as a percentage. The more an individual respondent connects respondents who are themselves not connected, the higher their betweenness centrality. This generally reflects expertise and brokerage abilities in knowledge and communication networks (Maiolo et al., 1992). The two independent expertise variables using betweenness centrality are "Fish Know Expert" and "Hunt Know Expert."

We used a general linear model to investigate the relationship between perceived change (CCKI) and expertise while controlling for a number of demographic independent variables including: Age, Education (number of years of formal schooling), Store Bought (percent of food purchased from store), Kotzebue resident (dummy variable, where 1=Kotzebue residence, 0 otherwise), Wage Labor (dummy variable, where 1=engaged in wage labor, 0 otherwise), and Percent Marine Food (percent of food that is marine subsistence including mammals) (Table 4). Intercorrelations among the independent variables were conducted in order to limit any potential problems with multicollinearity. Finally, the two expertise variables were simply the normalized betweenness centrality measures in both the hunting and fishing knowledge networks as calculated in UCINET (Borgatti *et al.*, 2002).

Results

Scientific Ecological Knowledge.

The growth patterns of individual cockles and of individual whelks were homogenous, meaning that individuals of the same species were responding similarly to environmental conditions (i.e. high signal to noise) and individual SGIs could be reliably averaged. The mean standard growth index (SGI) for each calendar year for both species of mollusks varied considerably over the 22 years of the data set (Figs. 3 and 4), and patterns for both species exhibit two distinct phases. Before 1996 (for *Serripes*) and 1995 (for *Neptunea*) SGIs are consistently below 1.0, representing relatively slow growth, and with little interannual variability. Subsequently, SGIs are near or above 1.0 for both species, with a high degree of interannual variability for *Serripes*. The growth of both species declines after 2001 (*Neptunea*) and 2002 (*Serripes*), with this decline continuing to the end of the chronology in 2005 for *Neptunea*.

The SGI of both species was strongly negatively correlated with regional climate indices; *Serripes* most strongly with the two-year running mean of the annual and winter Arctic Oscillation (AO) index and *Neptunea* most strongly with the annual and summer Pacific Decadal

Oscillation (PDO) and the annual AO (Table 5). The growth of both species was also negatively correlated with the North Pacific Index-Aleutian low. Correlations were generally stronger with the running means and/or the lagged running means of the environmental parameter than with current year conditions.

Growth of both species was also negatively correlated with large scale patterns of ice coverage with the strength and significance of the correlations generally improving with running means and an annual lag of the ice parameters. Measures of total Arctic ice coverage were more frequently correlated with growth than local measures of ice coverage, though there were modest positive correlations between growth and the day of Kotzebue Sound freeze up for both species, indicating that a longer ice-free period results in greater growth.

Other local environmental parameters did not correlate as strongly with growth as regional parameters. Annual and winter air temperatures were positively correlated with *Serripes* growth, but there was no relationship between growth during the expected growing season, summer, and temperature. *Neptunea* growth was actually negatively correlated with summer temperature. *Neptunea* growth was also negatively related to wind and winter precipitation, presumably a proxy for spring runoff.

The best linear mixed model for *Serripes* includes two regional parameters and their interaction:

```
SGI = 10.486 - 41.859 \text{ AOsummer}_{m2} \qquad p = 0.004
- 0.7896 \text{ TotalArcticIce}_{-1} \qquad p < 0.0001
+ 3.4427 \text{ AOsummer}_{m2} \times \text{TotalArcticIce}_{-1} \qquad p = 0.005
```

where the subscript m2 represents the two year running mean of the variable and -1 a one year lag.

This model explains 80.3% of the variability in mean growth. The interaction term means that the negative effect of Total Arctic Ice (lagged one year) is less as the two year running mean of the AO in summer increases.

The best mixed model for *Neptunea* includes a mixture of regional and local parameters and is more complicated than for *Serripes* because it contains three terms and an interaction term:

Subscripts are as above for Serripes and m2₁ is a one year lag of the two year running mean.

This model explains 79.3% of the variability in *Neptunea* growth and uses the two year running mean for all parameters. The interaction between summer air temperature and total Arctic ice the previous winter (two year running mean lagged one year) means that the negative effect on SGI of the previous winter total Arctic ice extent is minimized with increasing summer air temperature.

Traditional Ecological Knowledge

topics, and included both hunting and fishing experts.

TEK, integrating over longer time scales than the mollusks we examined, can further elucidate the apparent shift in the coastal ecosystem that began in the mid-1990s. Of the 35 separate agree/disagree propositions concerning coastal ecosystems, 20 addressed change/variability, and 16 specifically addressed marine fish or invertebrates (with 7 of the 16 fish/invertebrate propositions related to change) (Table 1). These propositions derived from indepth interviews with Iñupiaq hunter-fishers will allow for the systematic modeling of cultural ecosystem beliefs and their variation across individuals and groups. In the factor analysis of the inter-correlations among respondents' answers, (i.e., factor analysis of the people rather than the propositions), the ratio of the first to second eigenvalue for coastal ecosystem knowledge was 3.19 and all the factor scores were positive, indicating cultural consensus among the respondents for propositions in this domain (Romney et al., 1987). It is not, however, nearly as strong as the consensus found for bearded seal (ugruk) knowledge (ratio of 7.78, Table 6, with questions presented in Table 2). In fact, the coastal ecosystem knowledge is approaching the classification of 'proto-cultural' (Caulkins, 2004) (knowledge just entering the cultural system) due to higher levels of intra-cultural variability within the shared understanding. A comparison among the various cultural and TEK knowledge domains assessed indicates that cultural knowledge competency in one domain does not necessarily translate to such competency in others for the Kotzebue community (Table 7). It is important to note that our overall study addressed several

Further break-down of the 35 coastal ecosystem propositions resulted in the previously mentioned climate change knowledge index (CCKI) a subset of 5 statements that dealt explicitly with change. Table 1A compares the culturally correct answers for the 5 statements as determined by the CCM with the responses for the change index. There is general agreement between the two that the water is getting warmer and that the salmon are returning earlier. However, the index reflects more change, particularly with respect to changes in the behavior of some fish and in increasing numbers of some species being observed in the Sound. The CCKI showed that respondents who perceived more change were often at odds with the overall normative ecological beliefs, particularly for the fish/climate domain (Table 7, r= -0.526, P= 0.0001). We subsequently used the knowledge network information to further characterize the hunters/fishers who believe the ecosystem is changing.

The size of the nodes in the networks for both the hunters and the fishers (Figure 2) is proportional to their betweenness centrality and is used as index of expertise in each of the domains as described in the Material and Methods section. The two networks are similar in structure, but vary slightly in terms of the distribution of centrality values. Whereas both have a core periphery structure, the fish knowledge network core is more dominated by a single fisher in

the core (including a number of fishers with moderate centrality), while the hunt knowledge network has a more uniform distribution of centrality among core members. In both cases, however, the hunters and fishers with higher centrality are in the core of the network linking to other actors in the periphery of the network. The extent to which hunters and fishers are central, in terms of betweenness centrality, in the two networks reflects domain expertise in that they receive knowledge nominations from a broader range of hunters and fishers who are themselves not connected in the network. In addition, hunters and fishers in these central positions in the knowledge network would have access to a wider range of shared ecological knowledge as well as more novel ecological information.

We compared expertise, as determined by normalized betweenness centrality in the knowledge networks, and the change index (CCKI) while controlling for a set of other possible independent variables including age, education, residence, engagement in wage labor, percent of total food purchased at stores, and percent of food from marine subsistence. Interestingly, the two expert subsets of the population (hunters and fishers) had different perspectives on coastal ecosystem change. In a regression of factors that may be driving the increased perceptions of change, both age and fish expertise (Fish Know Expert) were positively related to the change index (CCKI), while involvement in wage labor, education, age and hunting expertise (Hunt Know Expert) were negatively related (Table 4). This suggests that the fish experts were more likely than others, particularly the hunting experts, to perceive increased coastal change that was outside the range of the normative ecological beliefs as reflected in the consensus analysis of the change statements. Hunters and fishers are aware of the natural variability in the climate over time and as such these normative ecological beliefs already incorporate the normal range of variation that might result from such things as the Arctic Oscillation. For example, in response to the statement on variation in ice conditions from one year to the next (Table 1B), the culturally correct answer clearly pointed to recognition of annual variability. What may be difficult to tease out perceptually are the differences between changes due to decadal and interannual variation from a shift in climate. These results, however, indicate that the perceived changes in climate and subsequently in the coastal ecosystem are outside the range of natural variation and are best understood by the older, more experienced fishers, who are less involved in wage labor, and these change perceptions appear to represent the beginnings of the diffusion of new cultural beliefs related to climate and ecosystem change. We expect that these perceptions will diffuse from these fish experts to other hunters and fishers over time.

Discussion

Local knowledge of ecosystems has become increasingly valued and used in ecosystem and resource management over the past three decades (Johannes, 1981, 1984; Berkes *et al.*, 2000; Le Fur *et al.*, 2010). When combined with SEK, this often yields a more holistic view of ecosystems than either knowledge base alone (Huntington *et al.*, 2011; Ferguson *et al.*, 2012). Combining TEK and SEK could be especially useful in the Arctic where long-term historical data are lacking (Wassmann *et al.*, 2011), and indigenous peoples have accumulated environmental information for many generations (Huntington, 2011). Despite the acknowledgement that TEK can inform SEK and lead to an enhanced environmental understanding in the Arctic (Huntington *et al.*, 2004; Nicholas *et al.*, 2004; Laidler, 2006), few

studies have successfully combined the two ways of knowing in Arctic systems (Mahoney *et al.*, 2009; Weatherhead *et al.*, 2010; Carter and Nielson, 2011; Huntington *et al.*, 2011; Riseth *et al.*, 2011). We demonstrate that Iñupiaq fishers are especially attuned to perceiving changes in coastal climate and they provide the longer time frame needed to interpret the high-resolution changes we see in the growth rate of mollusks. This provides a better understanding of climate change in Kotzebue than if we had relied on either TEK or mollusk growth alone as a climate proxy.

537538539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

531

532

533534

535

536

Annual growth patterns of both *Neptunea* and *Serripes* reflect variations in large-scale climate drivers in the Kotzebue Sound/ Chukchi Sea system and local manifestations of these drivers (Table 5, Figures 3 and 4). The relationships we document between Serripes growth and large scale climate oscillations, primarily the North Pacific Index-Aleutian low, the PDO, and the AO (the latter two indices are closely related, Sun and Wang, 2006), are consistent with studies of Serripes growth in the European Arctic where this relationship is well established (Ambrose et al., 2006; Carroll et al., 2009, 2011a). In our study, Neptunea growth was also negatively correlated with the AO (Table 5). No study has linked growth of a buccinid to climate oscillations, but the deposition of annual lines in the operculum and statolith and the longevity of some taxa (Richardson, 2005) make members of this genus a good candidate for climate studies. Even similar species in the same production regime can have opposite responses to a regime shift (Benson and Trites, 2002), so it is remarkable that species at two trophic levels exhibited relatively simultaneous and significant shifts in annual growth patterns. Only one other study has documented an effect of the AO on two trophic levels simultaneously (Aanes et al., 2002). The AO index shifted from a strong positive to a negative or neutral phase after 1995 (Thompson and Wallace, 1998; Overland and Wang, 2005) concurrent with the PDO switching from a warm to a cool phase (Matua and Hare, 2002) and an increase in the growth of Serripes and Neptunea (Figures 3 and 4). A major restructuring of the ecosystem in the northern Bering Sea has been hypothesized to have occurred around 1996 and is attributed to a reduction in the strong positive phase of the Arctic Oscillation resulting in stronger southerly winds, less ice and warmer temperatures over the northern Bering Sea and eastern Siberia (Grebmeier et al., 2006a). The change in ecosystem structure and function in the northern Bering Sea from an Arctic system dominated by tight pelagic-benthic coupling to a sub-Arctic one dominated by pelagic processes representative of the southern Bering Sea could potentially affect the Pacific-influenced portion of the Arctic Ocean (Grebmeier et al., 2006a). If so, we would expect to record evidence of a coincident regime shift north of Bering Strait in the Chukchi Sea, which is heavily influenced by input from the Pacific Ocean (Weingartner et al., 2005; Grebmeier et al., 2006b), and also because of tight pelagic-benthic coupling on Arctic shelves (Grebmeier and Barry, 1991, Ambrose and Renaud, 1995).

567568569

570

571

572

573

574 575 There is not always a direct relationship between a climate index and local conditions (Stenseth *et al.*, 2003), but ultimately the growth of organisms is determined by the manifestation of climate oscillations on the local environment (Ambrose *et al.* 2006). *Serripes* growth is best explained by large scale patterns of ice extent (Table 5). Interestingly, it is the Arctic-wide ice pattern (total Arctic ice) that is better predictor of growth than the local ice conditions (freeze up, ice free days) in the mixed-effects model. The local conditions are based on conditions in a 25 km area which may not be as robust as predicting larger scale conditions in Kotzebue

Sound as Arctic-wide metrics. Food and temperature are the most important determinants of growth in suspension feeding bivalves (Beukema *et al.*, 1985; Lewis and Cerrato, 1997; Witbaard *et al.*, 1999; Dekker and Beukema, 1999) and annual phytoplankton production in the Arctic is directly proportional to the length of the open water period (Rysgaard *et al.*, 1999). While *Serripes* can utilize ice algae as food (McMahon *et al.*, 2006), ice generally limits phytoplankton productivity and therefore likely limits *Serripes* growth. Temperature is not a predictor in the mixed model and there is no apparent relationship between *Serripes* growth and temperature during the summer (Table 5) when *Serripes* appear to put on most of its growth (Ambrose *et al.*, 2012). *Serripes* growth appears to be determined largely by factors directly or indirectly affecting its food.

The growth of Neptunea was explained more by local factors than by the larger scale atmospheric patterns that presumably determine local conditions (Table 5) and both regional and local factors enter the multiple regression. As with Serripes, Neptunea growth is also negatively affected by a large scale measure of ice cover, total Arctic ice the previous winter. Unlike Serripes, however, local parameters are also important predictors of Neptunea growth. Whelks feed primarily on bivalves (including Serripes, personal observation WGA) and polychaetes (Shimek, 1984) and benthic biomass on Arctic shelves is inversely related to ice cover (Ambrose and Renaud, 1995), so ice cover the preceding years might affect the abundance of Neptunea prey and the predator's growth. A similar explanation could explain the importance of summer precipitation in determining *Neptunea* growth. Higher precipitation would deliver more terrestrial carbon to Kotzebue Sound where it is an important contributor to the benthic and pelagic food web (McMahon, Ambrose and Clough unpublished data). Rivers might also deliver nutrients to local waters increasing primary productivity. Both these effects would increase the abundance and biomass of Neptunea prey. The negative relationship between Neptunea growth and the running mean of summer temperature is harder to explain. The growth rate of mollusks in general and a *Neptunea* congeneric, *Neptunea arthritica* in particular, increase with increasing temperature (Fujinaga, 1987; Miranda, 2009). If the increased metabolism associated with an increase in temperature is not compensated for with an increase in food consumption, however, growth rates may decline as has been seen in an Arctic scallop (Bilcher et al., 2010). The significant interaction between ice cover and summer temperature indicates that higher temperatures do reduce the negative effect of ice.

 Summer wind is one of the few parameters that had a stronger impact on *Neptunea* growth in the present year than incorporating the previous year as a running mean or with a time lag (Table 5). It might be reasonable to expect the behavior of predator and/or prey to be negatively affected by wind increasing water and sediment movement in the shallow waters of Kotzebue Sound. Experimental evidence, however, indicates water flow does not interfere with a whelk's ability to detect prey (Ferner and Weissburg, 2005) or its predation rate on bivalves (Powers and Kittinger, 2002). It is possible that the wind-induced bottom currents in Kotzebue Sound are higher than the speeds used in experiments or have different effects on bottom sediments, but we have no evidence of the impact of wind induced waves on the Kotzebue Sounds benthos. We can only speculate that prey burrowing behavior may be modified by wind induced bottom currents, with prey burrowing deeper and therefore becoming less accessible to *Neptunea* predation when wind impacts bottom sediments.

Growth of the mollusks was usually best explained by local and regional parameters when parameters were lagged a year relative to growth or when a two year running mean of the parameter was used to incorporate the previous year's conditions. Lagged response to climate oscillations are common in marine systems (Overland *et al.*, 2010) and can typically span many trophic levels (Post, 2004) from benthic infauna (Tunberg and Nelson, 1998), including bivalves (Witbaard *et al.*, 2003; Ambrose *et al.*, 2006, Carroll *et al.*, 2011b), to zooplankton (Pershing *et al.*, 2004), fish (Ottersen *et al.*, 2004) and birds (Thompson and Ollason, 2001). This lagged response is well explained by the double integration hypothesis where atmospheric forcing affects large scale environmental factors (e.g. sea surface temperature, ocean circulation) which in turn affect population dynamics (Bestelmeyer *et al.*, 2011; di Lorenzo and Ohman, 2013; Doney and Sailley, 2013). The growth of relatively long-lived mollusks is likely best modeled using lags of atmospheric processes and even local conditions because growth is not continuous throughout the year and at some times of the year energy is diverted from growth to reproduction (Peterson and Fegley, 1986; Bayne, 2004).

Mollusks are frequently touted as excellent biomonitors for reconstructing environmental conditions (Wanamaker *et al.*, 2011), especially in the Arctic (Mann et al., 2013; Carroll *et al.*, 2014). Without a much longer dataset, though, it is unclear from SEK alone whether the shifts we see in growth are a result of a decadal oscillation, as the relationships between growth and regional climate indices would suggest, or, in contrast, is related to a more sustained climatic change.

Accordingly, our TEK results indicate that the Kotzebue Sound ecosystem has been undergoing changes on a broader time scale than would be evident from natural oscillations alone. In support of this assertion, Moerlein and Carothers (2012) collected TEK via ethnographic methods in the Northwest Alaska communities of Noatak and Selawik, and concluded that the changes in Northwest Alaska over the last 20-30 years are "without precedent and outside of the normal range of variation." Many of the more observable changes are occurring within the Sound ecosystem as reflected in the clam growth analysis. It is therefore not surprising to find that the older, more experienced fish experts were the first to observe such changes, which includes reported changes in the behavior and increased presence of several marine species. Furthermore, the fact that experts are seeing these changes before other marine mammal hunters and fishers points to the beginnings of the diffusion of new cultural ecological knowledge and understandings. Over time this incipient knowledge will eventually gain a broader cultural consensus, eventually representing a new ecological normative understanding.

One possible hypothesis is that it is the experts who would be the most likely to see these changes before anyone else. After all, they are the ones who are more experienced and knowledgeable about the ecological and environmental factors, such as air and water temperature, that are essential for being successful as a hunter or fisher. In addition, they are more committed to the subsistence way of life in that they are older, have less formal Western education, and do not tend to engage in forms of wage labor. They have spent most of their lives on the water and ice fishing. Therefore, it is the fish experts who have intimate knowledge of spawning behaviors and marine species assemblages that are seeing unprecedented increases in

some species, particularly benthic species such as crabs. Although we interviewed hunters and fishers at a single point in time, we argue here that the difference in beliefs between fish experts and the traditional cultural beliefs reflects the beginnings of the diffusion of new cultural knowledge.

672 due to
673 freezin
674 getting
675 respor
676 hunter
677 earlier
678 betwee
679 1A). 1
680 behavi
681 huntin

666 667

668 669

670

671

682

683

684

685

686

687 688

689

690

691

692

This is not to say that it is only the fish experts reporting ecosystem change that may be due to a shift in climate. There was clearly agreement that the ice is breaking up earlier and freezing later, the west summer winds are becoming less frequent, and the air temperatures are getting warmer across the seasons (Table 1B). Further, as is evident from a comparison of responses to the change statements in Table 1A, there is overall cultural agreement among hunters and fishers that the water in the Sound is getting warmer and the salmon are returning earlier. It is the fish experts, however, more than any other group, who recognize the connection between the warming of the water and the increasing numbers of crabs entering the Sound (Table 1A). In addition, they also are observing increases in flounder numbers and changes in trout behavior, changes that have yet to be noticed by others, in particular, the hunting experts. The hunting experts tend to concentrate more on marine mammals, such as ugruk, who interface with hunters on the surface of the water or on the ice. In the consensus analysis there was general agreement that the ugruk population numbers have been relatively stable over the last 15 years and that the fat content of the ugruk has stayed relatively the same (Table 1B). Whereas some have claimed there has been increased movement north of beaver populations, such movements, if true, appear not to have affected the spawning abilities of species such as whitefish (Coregonus spp., Table 1B). What this suggests is that ecosystem changes due to a shift in climate may be more readily observed in the marine ecosystem, particularly the benthos, as opposed to the terrestrial system. It also seems to be the case that it also had less effect on marine mammal populations and behavior, at least at the time of our study. Recent scientific research has suggested that the pace of a shifting climate may be more pronounced in the ocean than on land at similar latitudes (Burrows et al. 2011). If this is the case, then the fact that the fish experts are noticing ecosystem change before others certainly follows.

693 694 695

696 697

698

699

700

701

702703

704

705

706

707

708

There is cultural consensus that the air and water temperatures of the Kotzebue area are warming, the elders and fishers perceive behavioral and population abundance changes in ecologically important marine species, and the changing growth of two mollusk species in response to regional and local environmental conditions suggest continued change for Kotzebue Sound. The fish experts are seeing changes in animal behavior such as the timing of salmon runs and the abundance of crabs and flounder. The recent decreases in ice cover will increase the growth rates of both *Serripes* and *Neptunea*. These changes are likely to have profound impacts on the structure of the marine community, especially the benthos, and on subsistence hunting. There will be a longer fishing season due to less ice, more crabs to fish, and faster growing clams (*Serripes*) will provide more food for bearded seals (ugruk). On the other hand, the reported changes in ice conditions do not bode well for traditional, ice-based ugruk hunting and new immigrant marine species may reduce the abundances of clams. While speculative, we feel our predictions, based on a combination of TEK and SEK, are robust, and should be useful for future local (town), regional (borough), and statewide planning, as well as for scientific modeling of ecosystem responses to climate change.

709 710

It is challenging to determine whether a deviation in environmental conditions at a given time is due to a shift to a new climate regime or to natural cycling. The 15-20 years of mollusk growth data clearly indicate a change in growth conditions in the middle of the 1990s (Figures 3 and 4). The SEK data set alone cannot discern if this change is part of a decadal climate oscillation, a fundamental change in climate affecting the near-shore ecosystem, or a combination of the two. The TEK shared by the Iñupiaq ecomonitors provide insight into ecosystem change not revealed by mollusks. The experts' knowledge of the ecosystem is typically very local, integrative, and is longer in duration than the time frame provided by the mollusks we studied. Together these two approaches provide more insight than either would alone; the mollusks indicate precisely when change occurred and the Iñupiaq tell us the change is not only a decadal oscillation. Recently the United States Arctic Research Commission recommended the incorporation of traditional ecological knowledge into long-term monitoring of Arctic climate change (United States Arctic Research Commission, 2013). We have demonstrated that such a combination of scientific and traditional ecological knowledge provides a much more holistic view of local climate change in one Arctic location than by relying solely on either approach. Application of this method across the Arctic would provide an assessment of the extent to which local ecosystems are affected by the changing Arctic climate even in the absence of continuous environmental monitoring with scientific instruments.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by a grant from the US National Science Foundation Office of Polar Programs (OPP-0222423) to W.G.A., L.M.C., D.G. and J.C.J., the Norwegian Research Council to M.L.C., the BBVA Foundation and Spanish Ministry of Education and Competitiveness grant MTM2012-37195 to M.G., and with funds from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute through Bates College. We thank John Goodwin for piloting the boat for sample collection and for allowing us to collect snails from his crab traps and Gerald Goodwin, Stephen Jewett, Janice Lewis, Kate Meltzer, Melinda Reynolds and Terry Reynolds for field help and Greg Henkes, Jessica Edgerly and William Locke for laboratory help. William Locke drafted Figure 1. Comments from R. Bernard, E. Jones, J. Muratori, P. Renaud, and G. Scheldeman significantly improved the manuscript.

References

Aanes, R, Sæther, B-E, Smith, F.M., Cooper, E.J., Wookery, P.A., and Øritsland, N.A. (2002). the Arctic Oscillation predicts effects of climate change in two trophic levels in a hight-Arctic ecosystem. *Ecol. Lett.* 5, 445-453.

Ambrose, W. G. Jr., Renaud, P. E. (1995). Benthic response to water column productivity: evidence for benthic pelagic coupling in the Northeast Water Polynya. *J. Geophys. Res.* 100, 4411-4421.

Ambrose, Jr. W.G., Carroll, M. L., M., Thorrold S. R., and McMahon, K. (2006). Variation in bivalve growth in a Norwegian high-Arctic fjord: Evidence for local- and large-scale climatic forcing. *Global Chg. Bio.* 12, 1595-1607.

- Ambrose, Jr. W. G., Renaud, P. E., Locke, W. L., V, Cottier, F. R., Berge, J. R., Carroll, M. L.,
- Levin, B., and Ryan, S. (2012). Growth line deposition and variability in growth of two
- circumpolar bivalves (Serripes groenlandicus and Clinocardium ciliatum). Polar Biol.
- 758 35, 345-354.
- Arctic Climate Impact Assessment (2005). *Impacts of a Warming Arctic: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment*. (Cambridge Univ. Press).
- Bayne, B.L. (2004). Phenotypic flexibility and physiological tradeoff in the feeding and growth of marine bivalve molluscs. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 44, 425-432.
- Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. (1998). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. Royal Stat. Soc., Series B*, 57, 289-300.
- Benson, A. J., and Trites, A. W. (2002). Ecological effects of regime shifts in the Bering Sea and eastern Pacific Ocean. *Fish Fisheries* 3, 95-113.
- Bilcher, M.E., Rysgaard, S., and Sejr, M.K. (2010). Seasonal growth variation in *Chlamys* islandica (Bivalvia) from sub-Arctic Greenland is linked to food availability and temperature. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 407, 71-86.
- Berkes, F., Colding, J., and Folke, C. (2000). Rediscovery of traditional ecological knowledge as adaptive management. *Ecol. App.* 10, 1251-1261.
- Beukema, J. J., Knoll, E., and Cadée, G. C. (1985). Effects of temperature on the length of the annual growing season of the Tellinid bivalve *Macoma balthica* (L.) living on tidal flats in the Dutch Wadden Sea. *J. Exper. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 90, 129–144.
- Butler, P.G., Wanamaker, A.D. J.r, Scourse, J.D. et al. (2013). Variability of marine climate on
 the North Icelandic Shelf in a 1,357-year crossdated *Arctica islandica* chronology.
 Palaeoceanogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol. 373, 141-151.
- Bland, J. M., and Altman, D. G. (1997). Cronbach's alpha. British Medical Journal, 314, 572.
- Born, E.W., Rysgaard, S., Ehlme, G., Sejr, M., Acquarone, M., and Levermann, N. (2003).
 Underwater observations of foraging free-living Atlantic walruses (*Odobenus rosmarus* rosmarus) and estimates of their food consumption. *Polar Biol.* 26, 348-357.
- Borgatti, S.P., Everett, M.G., and Freeman, L.C. (2002). Ucinet 6 for Windows: Software for Social Network Analysis. Harvard, MA; Analytic Technologies.
- Borgatti, S., Everett, M., and Johnson, J. (2013). *Analyzing Social Networks*. (Sage, London).
- Boster, J. S., Johnson, J. (1989) Form or function: A comparison of expert and novice judgments of similarity among fish. *Amer. Anthro.* 91, 866-899.
- Burrows, M.T., Schoeman, D.S., Buckley, L.B., Moore, P., Poloczanska, E.S., Brander, K.M.,
- Brown, C., Bruno, J.F., Duarte, C.M., Halpern, B.S., Holding, J., Kappel, C.V.,
- Kiessling, W., O'Connor, M.I., Pandolfi, J.M., Parmesan, C., Schwing, F.B.,

- Sydeman, W.J., and Richardson, A.J. (2011). The Pace of Shifting Climate in Marine and
 Terrestrial Ecosystems. *Science* 334, 652-655.
- Carroll, M.L., Johnson, B., Henkes, G.A., McMahon, K.W., Voronkov, A., Ambrose, W.G., Jr., and Denisenko, S.G. (2009). Bivalves as indicators of environmental variation and potential anthropogenic impacts in the southern Barents Sea. *Mar. Poll. Bull.* 59:193-206.
- 795 Carroll, M.L., Ambrose, W.G., Jr., Levin, B.S., Locke, W.L., Henkes, G.A., Hop H., and 796 Renaud, P.E. (2011a). Pan-Svalbard growth rate variability and environmental regulation 797 in the Arctic bivalve *Serripes groenlandicus*. *J. Mar. Syst.* 88,239-251.
- Carroll, M.L., Ambrose, W.G., Jr., Levin, B., Ratner, A., Ryan, S., and Henkes, G.A. (2011b).
 Climatic regulation of *Clinocardium ciliatum* (bivalvia) growth in the northwestern
 Barents Sea. *Palaeogeo. Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecolo*. 302, 10-20.
- Carroll, M.L., Ambrose, W.G., Jr., Locke, W.L., Ryan, S.K., and Johnson, B.J. (2014). Bivalve growth rate and isotopic variability across the Barents Sea Polar Front. *J. Mar. Syst.* 130, 167-180.
- Carter, B.T.G, and Nielson, E.A. (2011). Exploring ecological changes in Cook Inlet beluga whale habitat though traditional and local ecological knowledge of contributing factors for population decline. *Mar. Policy* 35, 299-308.
- Caulkins, D. (2004). Identifying Culture as a Threshold of Shared Knowledge: A Consensus Analysis Method. *Inter. J. Cross Cultural Manag.* 4, 317-333.
- Coan, E. V., P. Valentich & F. R. Bernard. (2000). *Bivalve seashells of western North America*.
 Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History. Monograph 2, Studies in Biodiversity 2.
 Santa Barbara, CA, USA. 764 pp.
- Davis. A., and Wagner, J. R. (2003). Who knows? On the importance of identifying "experts" when researching local ecological knowledge. *Human Ecol.* 31, 463-489.
- Dekker ,R., and Beukema, J. (1999). Relations of summer and wintertemperatures with dynamics and growth of two bivalves, *Tellina tenuis* and *Abra tenuis*, on the northern edge of their intertidal distribution. *J. Sea Res.* 42, 207–220.
- Di Lorenzoa, E. and Mark D. Ohman, M.D. (2013). A double-integration hypothesis to explain ocean ecosystem response to climate forcing. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 110, 2496-2499.
- Doney, S.C. and Sailley, S.F. (2013). When an ecological regime shift is really just stochastic noise. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 110, 2438-2439.
- Ducklow, H. W., Doney, S. C., and Steinberg, D. K. (2009). Contributions of long-term research and time-series observations to marine ecology and biogeochemistry. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 1, 279-302.

- Dunton, K. H., Goodall, J. L., Schonberg, S. V., Grebmeier, J. M., and Maidment, D. R. (2005).
- Multi-decadal synthesis of benthic pelagic coupling in the western Arctic: Role of cross-
- shelf advective processes. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 52, 3462-3477.
- Feder, H. M., Naidu, A. S., Baskaran, M., Frost, K., Hameedi, M. J, Jewett, S. C., Johnson, W.
- R., Raymond, J., and Schell, D. (1991). Bering Strait-Hope Basin: habitat utilization and
- ecological characterization. Institute of Marine Science technical report 92-2, University
- of Alaska, Fairbanks.
- Feder, H.M., Jewett, S.C., and Blanchard, A. (2005). Southeastern Chukchi Sea (Alaska)
- epibenthos . *Polar Biol.* 28, 402-421.
- Finley, K.J., and Evans, C.R. (1983). Summer diet of the bearded seal (*Erignathus barbatus*) in the Canadian high Arctic. *Arctic* 36, 82-89
- Fisher, K. I., and Stewart, R.E.A. (1997). Summer foods of Atlantic walrus, Odobenus *rosmarus* rosmarus, in northern Foxe Basin, North West Territories. *Can. J. Zool.* 75, 1166–1175.
- Freeman, L. C. (1977). A set of measures of centrality based on betweenness. *Sociometry* 40, 35–41.
- 839 Fritts, H. C. (1976). *Tree Rings and Climate*. Academic Press, New York.
- 840 García Quijano, C. (2007). Fishers' knowledge of marine species assemblages: bridging
- scientific and local ecological knowledge in southeastern Puerto Rico. *Amer. Anthro.* 109,
- 842 *529-536*.
- 843 Griffith, D. (2006). "Local knowledge, multiple livelihoods, and the use of natural and social
- resources in coastal North Carolina," in Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Natural
- *Resource Management*, ed. C. Menzies (University of Nebraska Press), 153-174.
- Grebmeier, J.M., McRoy, C.P., and Feder, H.M., (1988). Pelagic-benthic coupling on the shelf of
- the northern Bering and Chukchi Seas. I. Food supply source and benthic biomass. *Mar.*
- 848 *Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 48, 57–67.
- Grebmeier, J. M., Overland, J. E., Moore, S. E., Farley, E. V., Carmack, E. C., Cooper, L. W.,
- Frey, K. E., Helle, J. H., McLaughlin, F. A., and McNutt, S. L. (2006a). A major
- ecosystem shift in the Northern Bering Sea. *Science* 311, 1461-1464.
- 652 Grebmeier, J.M., Cooper, L.W., Feder, H.M., and Sirenko, B.I. (2006b). Ecosystem dynamics of
- the Pacific-influenced Northern Bering and Chukchi Seas in the Amerasian Arctic. *Prog.*
- 854 *Ocean.* 71,331-361.
- Grebmeier, J.M, and Barry, J.P. (1991). The influence of oceanographic processes on pelagic-
- benthic coupling in polar regions: A benthic perspective. J. Mar. Syst. 2, 495-518.
- 657 Gröcke, D. R., and Gillikin D. P. (2008). Advances in mollusk sclerochronology and
- sclerochemistry: tools for understanding climate and environment. *Geo-Marine Letters*
- 859 28, 265-268.

- Huntington, H. P. (2000). Using traditional ecological knowledge in science: methods and applications. *Ecol. App.* 10, 1270-1274.
- Huntington, H.P., Callaghan, T.V., Fox Gearheard, S., and Krupnik, I. (2004) Matching traditional and scientific observations to detect environmental change: a discussion on Arctic Terrestrial Ecosystems. *Ambio* 33, 18–23.
- Huntington, H.P., Gearhead, S., Mahoney, A.R., and Salomon, A.E. (2011). Integrating
 Traditional and Scientific Knowledge through Collaborative Natural Science Field
 Research: Identifying Elements for Success. *Arctic* 64:437-445.
- Huntington, H. P., Goodstein E, and Duskirechen E. (2012). Towards a tipping point in responding to climate change. *Ambio* 41, 66-74.
- Johannes, R.E. (1981). Working with fishermen to improve coastaltropical fisheries and resource management. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 31(3): 673–680.
- Johannes, R.E. (1984). Marine conservation in relation to traditional lifestyles of tropical artisanal fishermen. Environmentalist, 4(Suppl. 7):30–35. doi:10.1007/BF01907290.
- Johnson, J. C., Weller, S. (2002). in Gubrium. J. F, and Holstein, J. A., (eds.) *Handbook of Interview Research*, J.F Gubrium and J.A. Holstein eds. (Sage, Newbury Park), 491-514.
- Johnson, M. A., Proshutinsky, A. Y., and Polyakov, I.V. (1999). Atmospheric patterns forcing two regimes of Arctic circulation: A return to anticyclonic conditions? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 26, 1621-1624.
- Kafanov, A. (1980). Systematics of the subfamily Clinocardiinae Kafanov, 1975 (Bivalvia,
 Cardiidae). *Malacologia* 19, 297–328.
- Khim, B-K, Kranz, D. E., Cooper, L. W., and Grebmeier, J. M. (2003). Seasonal discharge to the western Chukchi Sea shelf identified in stable isotope profiles of mollusk shells *J. Geophys. Res.* 108, doi:10.1029/2003JC001816.
- Kinder, T. H., Schumacher, J. D., Tripp, T. B., and Pashinski, D. (1977). The physical
 oceanography of Kotzebue Sound, Alaska, during late summer, 1976. Technical report
 M77-99, University of Washington, Seattle.
- Koszteyn, J., Kwasniewski, Różycki, O, and Węslawski, J.M. (1990). *Atlas of the Marine Fauna of Southern Spitzbergen*. Institute of Oceanology, Poland.
- Kortsch, S., Primicerio, R., Beuchel, F., Renaud, P. E. Rodrigues, J., Lønne, O. J., and Gulliksen, B. (2012). Climate-driven shifts in Arctic marine benthos. *Proc. Nat. Acad.* 891 *Sci.* 109, 14052-14057.
- Laidler, G.J. (2006). Inuit and scientific perspectives on the relationship between sea ice and climate change: the ideal complement? *Climatic Chg.* 78, 407–444.

- Le Fur, J., Guilavogui, A. and Teitelbaum, A. (2011). Contribution of local fishermen to 894 895 improving knowledge of the marine ecosystem and resources in the Republic of Guinea, West Africa. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 68, 54–1469 896
- Lewis, D. E., and Cerrato, R. M. (1997). Growth uncoupling and therelationship between shell 897 growth and metabolism in the soft shell clam Mya arenaria. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 158, 898 177–189. 899
- Mahoney, A., Gearheard, S., Oshima, T., and Qillaq, T. (2009). Sea ice thickness measurement 900 from a community-based observing network. Amer. Bulletin Meteorological Sci. 90, 901 371-377. 902
- Maiolo, J., Johnson, J. C., and Griffith, D. C. (1992). Applications of social science theory to 903 904 fisheries management: three examples. Soc. Nat. Res. 5, 391-407.
- Mann, R., Munroe, D.M., Powell, E.N., Hofmann, E.E. and Klinck, J.M. (2013). "Bivalve 905 mollusks: Barometers of climate change in Arctic marine systems", in Responses of 906 Arctic Marine Ecosystems to Climate Change, eds. F.J. Mueter, D.M.S. Dickson, H.P. 907 Huntington, J.R. Irvine, E.A. Logerwell, S. A. MacLean, L.T. Quakenbush, and C. Rosa 908 (Alaska Sea Grant, University of Alaska Fairbanks) doi:104027/ramecc.2013.4, 61-82. 909
- 910 Matua, N. J., and Hare, S. R. (2002) The pacific decadal oscillation. J. Ocean. 58, 35-44.
- 911 McMahon, K. W., Ambrose, W. G. Jr, Johnson, B. J., Sun, M. Yi., Lopez, G. R., Clough, L. M., and Carroll, M.L. (2006). Benthic community response to ice algae and phytoplankton in 912 913 NyÅlesund, Svalbard. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 310, 1–14.
- Menzies, C, and Butler, C. (2006). "Understanding Ecological Knowledge," in *Traditional* 914 915 Ecological Knowledge and Natural Resource Management, ed. C. Menzies (University Nebraska Press), 1-17. 916
- 917 Merkel, F.R., Jamieson, S.E., Falk, K. and Mosbech, A. (2007). The diet of common eiders winter in Nuuk, Southwest Greenland. Polar Biol. 390, 227-234. 918
- 919 Moerlein, K. J., and Carothers, C. (2012). Total Environment of Change: Impacts of Climate Change and Social Transitions on Subsistence Fisheries in Northwest Alaska Ecol. Soc. 920 17, 10-19. 921
- Morison, J., Aagaard, K., and Steele, M (2000). Recent environmental changes in the Arctic: A 922 review. Arctic 53, 359–371. 923
- Nicholas, Berkes, F., Jolly, D., Snow, N.B., and the community of Sachs Harbour (2004). 924 Climate Change and Sea Ice: Local Observations from the Canadian Western Arctic.
- Arctic 57,68-79. 926

925

Overland, J., and Wang, M. (2005). The Arctic climate paradox: the recent decrease of the Arctic 927 Oscillation. Geophys. Res. Lett. 32, doi:10.1029/2004GL021752. 928

- Overland, J. Rodionov, S., Minobe S., and Bond, N. (2008). North Pacific regime shifts: Definitions, issues and recent transitions. *Prog. Ocean.* 77, 92-102.
- Overland, J.E., Alheit, J., Bakun, A., Hurrell, J.W., Mackas, D.L. and Miller, A.J. (2010). Climate controls on marine ecosystems and fish populations. *J. Mar. Syst.* 79, 305-315.
- Peterson, C.H. and Fegley, R. S. (1986). Seasonal allocation of resources to growth of shell soma, and gonads in *Mercenaria mercenaria*. *Biol. Bull.* 171, 597-610.
- Pinheiro, J.C., and Bates, D.M. (2000). *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*, Springer, New York.
- 937 Richardson, C.A., Saurel, C., Barroso, C.M., and Thain, J. (2005). Evaluation of the age of the 938 red welk *Neptunea* antique using statoliths, opercula and element ratios in the shell *J.* 939 *Exper. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 325, 55-64.
- 940 Richardson, C. A (2001). Molluscs as archives of environmental change. *Ocean. Mar. Biol. Ann.* 941 *Rev.* 39, 103-164.
- 942 Riseth, J. Å and 11 authors (2011). Sámi traditional ecological knowledge as a guide to science: snow, ice and reindeer pasture facing climate change. *Polar Rec.* 47, 202-217.
- Romney, A. K., Weller, S., and Batchelder, W. (1986). Culture as consensus: A theory of culture and informant accuracy. *Amer. Anthro.* 88, 13-338.
- Romney, A. K., Batchelder, W., and Weller, S. (1987). Recent applications of cultural consensus theory. *Amer. Beh. Sci.* 31,163-177.
- Rysgaard, S., Nielsen, T., and Hansen, B. W. (1999). Seasonal variation innutrients, pelagic
 primary production and grazing in a high-Arctic marine ecosystem, Young Sound,
 Northeast Greenland. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 179, 13–25.
- Shackeroff, J. M., Campbell, L. M., and Crowder, L. B., (2011). Social-ecological guilds: putting people into marine historical ecology. *Ecol. Soc.* 16, 1-20.
- 953 Shimek, R. L. (1984). The diet of Alaskan Neptunea. *Veliger* 26, 274-281.
- 954 Stenseth, N. C., Ottersen, G., Hurrell, J. W., Mysterud, A., Lima, M., Chan, K-S., Yoccoz, N., 955 G., and Ådlansvik, O. (2003). Studying climate effects on ecology through the use of 956 climate indices: the North Atlantic Oscillation, El Niño Southern Oscillation, and beyond.
- 957 *Proc. Royal Soc. Lond.* 270, 2087-2096.
- 958 Sum, J. and Wang, H. (2006). Relationship between Arctic Oscillation and Pacific Decadal Oscillation on decadal timescale. *Chinese Sci. Bull.* 51, 75-79.
- Thompson, D.W.J, and Wallace, J.M. (1998). The Arctic Oscillation signature in the wintertime geopotential height and temperature fields. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 25, 1297-1300

- United States Arctic Research Commission (2013). Report on the Goals and Objective for Arctic Research 2013-2014 for the U.S. Arctic Research Program Plan. (U.S. Arctic Research Commission, Washington, D.C.).
- Wagner, F.J.E. (1977). Recent mollusk distribution patterns and palaeobathymetry, southeastern Beaufort Sea. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* 14, 2013-2028.
- Wanamaker, A. D. Jr., Hetzinger, S., Halfar, J. (2011). Reconstructing mid- to high-latitude marine climate and ocean variability using bivalves, coralline algae, and marine sediment cores from the Northern Hemisphere. *Paleogeogr. Paleoclimatol. Paleoecol.* 302, 1-9.
- 970 Wassmann, P., Duarte, C. M, Agustí, S., and Sejr, M. K. (2011). Footprints of climate change in 971 the Arctic marine ecosystem *Global Chg. Biol.* 17, 1235-1249.
- Weatherhead, E., Gearheard, S., and R.G. Barry, R.G. (2010). Changes in weather persistence:
 Insight from Inuit knowledge. *Global Environ. Chg.* 20, 523-528.
- Weingartner, T., Aagaard, K., Woodgate, R., Danielson, S., Sasaki, Y., Cavalieri, D. (2005).
 Circulation on the north central Chukchi Sea shelf. *Deep-Sea Res. II* 52, 3150–3174.
- Witbaard, R., Duineveld, G. C. A., and de Wilde P. A. W. J. (1999). Geographical differences in growth rates of *Arctica islandica* (Mollusca: Bivalvia) from the North Sea and adjacent waters. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K.* 79, 907–915.

Table 1. A) The five propositions comprising the Climate Change Knowledge Index (CCKI) as derived from a factor analysis of all 35 propositions, the classification of the statement as either change related or system related, the statement topic, the culturally correct answer (as determined by the cultural consensus model using Bayesian modeling), and the answer for the index. B) The remaining thirty agree/disagree propositions, the classification of the statement as either change related or system related, the statement topic, and the culturally correct answer (as determined by the cultural consensus model using Bayesian modeling).

A. Statement	Change/ System	Торіс	Culturally Correct Answer	Change Index Answer
The temperature of the water is a lot warmer than ten years ago.	Change	Climate	Agree	Agree
The first salmon are arriving earlier than they used to.	Change	Fish/Invertebrates	Agree	Agree
The increase in water temperature in the Sounds is bringing in more crabs to the area.	Change	Fish/Invertebrates	Disagree	Agree
People are getting more flounders in their nets today than in the past.	Change	Fish/Invertebrates	Disagree	Agree

The trout are going Change out earlier than usual.	e Fish/I	nvertebrates Disagree	Agree
B. Statement	Change/ System	Торіс	Culturally Correct Answer
Over the past few years, freeze-up has been longer and break-up a little bit earlier.	Change	Climate	Agree
The west winds in the summer are not coming as much as they used to.	Change	Climate	Agree
The temperatures on the whole are warmer throughout the year.	Change	Climate	Agree
People are beginning to get more pink salmon in the Sounds.	Change	Fish/Invertebrates	Disagree
The last three or four years there have been less trout.	Change	Fish/Invertebrates	Disagree
There has been an increase in dirty ice.	Change	Ice	Agree
The ice has been staying	Change	Ice	Disagree

longer in the spring than it used to.

There is very little difference in ice conditions from one year to the next.	Change	Ice	Disagree
The ugruks and the seals aren't any skinnier or fatter, but are about the same as always.	Change	Marine mammals	Agree
Over the last fifteen years the ugruk population in the Sounds has stayed about the same.	Change	Marine mammals	Agree
Some years there's so many boats out there that the ugruk won't stay up on the ice.	Change	Marine mammals	Agree
There is less beluga today because of all the outboard noise and exhaust.	Change	Marine mammals	Agree
More porpoise have been showing up in recent years.	Change	Marine mammals	Agree
Beaver moving into this country are blocking the ability of the whitefish to spawn.	Change	Terrestrial and Fish/Invertebrates	Disagree

People have begun taking more animals than they can use.	Change	Terrestrial and Marine mammals	Agree
Mussels and clams come up along the beach whenever you get a good west wind or storm.	System	Fish/Invertebrates	Agree
Herring come in right when the Kobuk ice starts breaking up.	System	Fish/Invertebrates	Agree
Break-up is a good time to get sheefish.	System	Fish/Invertebrates	Disagree
Sheefish are the first fish that come out from the rivers under the ice.	System	Fish/Invertebrates	Agree
Sheefish very seldom are taken in the oceanfront along Sisaulik.	System	Fish/Invertebrates	Agree
The adult tomcods come out with the freshwater flush of the Noatak in springtime.	System	Fish/Invertebrates	Disagree
The tomcods lay their eggs in the waters just in front of Kotzebue in December and	System	Fish/Invertebrates	Disagree

January.

When ice fishing in front of Kotzebue for tomcod the best time is when the tide is going out.	System	Fish/Invertebrates	Agree
As the ice first breaks up trout migrate right along the coast of Krusenstern and Sisaulik.	System	Fish/Invertebrates	Agree
The less snow covering in the winter, the thicker the ice.	System	Ice	Agree
When there are a lot of heavy east winds in the spring the ice leaves the Sounds quickly.	System	Ice	Agree
It is difficult to read the ice after a fresh snow.	System	Ice	Agree
When there is less rain, there are fewer berries.	System	Terrestrial	Agree
The wind affects our tidal changes here in the Kotzebue Sounds more than anything else.	System	Weather	Agree
A lot of east wind in the winter can lead to thinner ice in the spring.	System	Weather	Agree

Table 2. The 37 agree/disagree propositions comprising the data used to construct the cultural consensus model for ugruk.

- 1. We try to catch the ugruks as they're migrating up north.
- 2. The ugruk and the spotted seal are gone for the winter, except for the few seals that stay in the area.
- 3. We don't really know where the ugruks go for the winter.
- 4. Beluga and ugruk show up in the Sound at different times of year.
- 5. Most of the time, it's good, clear weather we look for when hunting ugruk.
- 6. Ugruk don't mind cloudy or raining weather to get up on top of the ice.
- 7. Ugruks avoid gray ice or dirty ice.
- 8. In the evenings the ugruk spend more of their time in the water than on the ice.
- 9. When there is a lot of east wind early in November, December, and January the Sound toward Deering doesn't freeze as think as it normally should.
- 10. Even in nice, clear weather when hunting ugruk you've got to know which way the current is going so you can get out before the ice closes in.
- 11. On the good white ice, that clear thick ice, you can hunt ugruk wherever you want.
- 12. When the wind is blowing hard in the open ice pack you need to find some ice for shelter and protection from the shifting ice and rolling seas.
- 13. Usually during break-up the pack ice sits out by Sealing Point where the shelf drops and the ice piles up there keeping the ice in and protecting you from the ocean waves.
- 14. You'll find ugruk on thick clean white ice.
- 15. If the ice moves out early and quickly you can still find ugruk close to town.
- 16. With strong east or west winds in the spring the ice by Deering can get closed over and unable to move, while the rest of the Sound is free of ice.
- 17. The young ugruk (ugrutchiaq) head into the rivers where they feed on fish.

- 18. The young ugruk (ugrutchiaq) are skinny in the fall.
- 19. As an ugruk grows into an adult they can eat less fish and eat more crabs and shrimp.
- 20. In the fall you can get young ugruk (ugrutchiaq) in the open water and once it freezes, they disappear.
- 21. The larger adult ugruk leave with the ice as it moves north after break up.
- 22. You find mostly the younger, smaller ugruk (ugrutchiaq) if the ice piles up around Deering in the spring.
- 23. The brown rotten ice is safe to travel on.
- 24. In normal years when the ice breaks up you have enough time to hunt ugruk all the way into early July.
- 25. You have to wait for the wind to blow the ice along and smash it up before it is safe to go out ugruk hunting in the spring.
- 26. Different conditions out there dictate where you go ugruk hunting in the spring.
- 27. It is best to wait until the ice is broken up enough before hunting ugruk, because if you go too early, then you get a chance of getting jammed in and stuck in the ice for a few days.
- 28. During break-up the last place the ice is going to really rot is along the sandbars.
- 29. Young ugruk (ugrutchiaq) can only be found in Kotzebue Sound and avoid going up the rivers.
- 30. In the fall you see the young bearded seal (ugrutchiaq) but not the adults.
- 31. Beluga and bearded seal can be difficult to find in the spring when there are killer whales in the upper and lower Kotzebue Sound.
- 32. Ugruk go down to the bottom and dig up shrimp and crabs to feed on.
- 33. In February or March you may find some ugruk or natchiq along the leads in the Sound.
- 34. Seals can be seen on top of the ice some times as early as January when the sun starts to come up.
- 35. A black faced ringed seal (natchiq) is a bull male, and is stink to eat.
- 36 When seal hunting in February and March the ice is not stable, and it will open one day and freeze up the next.

37. When you go out on snow machines seal hunting in February/March you go on the leads and shoot the females.	

Table 3. Factor loadings from a minimum residual factor analysis for the top five propositions used in the Climate Change Knowledge Index (CCKI).

1st Factor

Loadings	Propositions
0.601	
0.601	The temperature of the water is a lot warmer than ten years ago.
0.540	The first salmon are arriving earlier than in the past.
0.508	The trout are going out earlier than usual.
0.481	People are getting more flounders in their nets today than in the past.
0.463	The increase in water temperature in the Sound is bringing in more crabs to the are

Table 4. Multiple regression for Climate Change Knowledge Index (CCKI) as dependent variable with models using demographic and non-network independent variables (Model 1; $R^2 = 0.279$) and a model including network independent variables (Model 2; $R^2 = 0.421$). [Age = age of respondent, Store Bought (%) = percentage of diet from store food, Kotzebue Resident (dummy variable: 1, 0), Education = years of education, Wage Labor (dummy variable: 1, 0), Marine Subsistence (%) = percentage of food from marine systems, Hunt Know Expert and Fish Know Expert = the normalized betweenness centralities in the knowledge networks of hunter and fishers respectively]. Significant relationships are in bold. The levels of significance are: * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01.

Effect	t	t
Constant	2.816	2.631
Age	2.593**	2.909**
Store Bought (%)	-1.016	-1.436
Kotzebue Resident (dummy)	-0.187	-0.016
Education	-1.662	-2.074*
Wage Labor (dummy)	-2.212*	-2.401**
Marine Subsistence (%)	0.253	0.095
Hunt Know Expert		-2.627**
Fish Know Expert		2.480**

Table 5. Pearson correlations relating the mean standardized growth index (SGI) of *S. groelandicus* and *N. heros* to various environmental variables in the periods 1990-2003 and 1983-2005 respectively. The first set of columns of coefficients for each species is from annual and 2-year running means of the annual data. The second set of columns is from the environmental data being set back (lagged) by one year with respect to the growth data, relating growth to the previous year's environmental data. Significant correlations, shown in bold, are subject to the step-down adjustment of Benjamini and Hachberg (1995) for multiple comparisons. The levels of significance are: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, **P < 0.001.

Neptunea

Serripes

	Serry	7CS			терин			
	Present Year not Lagged		1 Year Lagged		Present Year not Lagged		1 Year Lagged	
Environmental Variable	Annual	Running Mean 2 yr.	Annual	Running Mean 2 yr.	Annual	Running Mean 2 yr.	Annual	Running Mean 2 yr.
Temperature Air (Annual)	0.346	0.588**	0.359*	0.063	0.266	0.260	0.102	0.126
Temperature Air (Summer)	0.065	0.179	0.153	-0.158	-0.300**	-0.419***	-0.299**	-0.298***
Temperature Air (Winter)	0.378*	0.477**	0.268	0.267	0.303*	0.320**	0.167	0.114
Precipitation (Winter)	-0.238	-0.337	-0.262	-0.227	-0.320**	-0.395**	-0.229	-0.410**
Precipitation (Summer)	0.247	0.256	0.074	0.101	0.245	0.413***	0.298	0.428**
Wind (annual)	-0.199	-0.189	-0.105	-0.077	-0.473*	-0.608***	-0.519**	-0.584***
Wind (Summer)	-0.324	-0.237	-0.064	-0.087	-0.585***	-0.501***	-0.267	-0.300
Freeze up (Julian Days)	-0.042	0.257	0.283*	-0.111	0.131	0.347***	0.214**	0.206

Break up (Julian Days)	-0.139	-0.168	-0.137	-0.106	0.025	0.076	0.094	0.085
Ice Free days (50%)	0.092	0.269	0.281*	0.127	0.041	0.010	-0.019	-0.061
Ice Free days (25%)	0.079	0.243	0.273	0.060	0.122	0.068	-0.015	-0.098
Total Arctic Ice Annual	-0.041	-0.469	- 0.670***	-0.570*	-0.195	-0.248	-0.268	-0.317
Total Arctic Ice (Max. March)	-0.012	-0.206	-0.274	-0.649**	-0.045*	-0.168	-0.261	-0.477*
Total Arctic Ice Winter (Avg. Oct-June)	-0.379	-0.538*	-0.550	-0.598*	-0.199	-0.233	-0.245	-0.391
Total Arctic Ice Autumn (Avg. Oct-Dec.)	-0.410	-0.595**	- 0.691***	-0.545*	-0.458**	-0.483***	-0.422**	-0.425
Total Arctic Ice (pre. 6 mo.)	-0.043	-0.376	-0.540*	-0.625**	-0.013*	-0.054*	-0.100	-0.222
Ice Anomaly	-0.341**	-0.144	0.151	0.062	0.135	0.274*	0.306**	0.405***
NP Index-Aleutian Low	-0.526**	-0.643***	-0.258	-0.053	-0.299*	-0.405**	-0.240	-0.280
AO Index (Annual)	-0.593*	-0.769***	-0.592*	-0.550	-0.280	-0.444*	-0.442**	-0.577***
AO Index (Winter)	-0.739***	-0.794***	-0.250	-0.483	-0.329*	-0.427*	-0.282	-0.410**
Arctic Climate Regime Index	-0.407	-0.418	-0.236	0.010	-0.257	-0.248	-0.136	-0.257
PDO Index (Annual)	-0.073	0.079	0.203	-0.032	-0.347*	-0.372**	-0.272	-0.280**
PDO Index (Summer)	-0.221	-0.005	0.207*	-0.067	-0.418**	-0.491***	-0.345*	-0.354**

PDO Index (Winter)	0.363**	0.203	-0.105	-0.037	-0.011	-0.045	-0.053	-0.087
Siberian/Alaskan Index	-0.362*	-0.258	-0.029	-0.078	0.072	0.133	0.123	0.167

Table 6. Comparison of the cultural consensus tests between two domains. Both fit the model but the ugruk domain shows higher consensus in comparison to the change/fish domain.

Cultural domain	1st to 2nd Eigenvalue Ratio	Mean Competence	Range	
Change/Fish	3.192	0.409	0-0.75	
Ugruk (bearded seal)	7.778	0.632	0.14-0.89	

Table 7. Relationships among cultural ecological knowledge domains. Pearson correlation coefficients relating various knowledge domains and the Change Index. Significant correlations are in bold. The levels of significance are: *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001.

	Ugruk Knowledge	Food Web Knowledge	Change Knowledge Fish/Climate	Fall Seal Knowledge	Change Index
Ugruk Knowledge	1.000				
Food Web Knowledge	-0.141	1.000			
Change Knowledge	-0.013	0.180	1.000		
Fall Seal Knowledge	-0.040	0.061	0.460*	1.000	
Change Index	0.379*	-0.196	-0.526***	-0.327*	1.000

Figure Legends

Figure 1.Conceptual model for integrating traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) and scientific ecological knowledge (SEK) of ecosystem change. Experts and Mollusks represent members of the human population and ecosystem (represented by the circles) respectively who accurately monitor environmental conditions. Experts generally rely on information gathered at mid-high trophic levels (and are themselves usually at these trophic positions) while mollusks reflect conditions at low-mid trophic levels. The length of the cylinders represents the time scale covered by each source of environmental information. Our use of the combined information represents an area of overlap.

Figure 2. Graphs of the fish (A) and hunt (B) knowledge networks with the size of the nodes (corresponding to hunters and fishers) proportional to normalized betweenness centrality.

Figure 3. Observed and fitted mean growth rate (\pm 2 standard errors) for *Serripes*, based on the linear mixed modeling, for predictors the two year running mean of the summer Arctic Oscillation, and total Arctic ice lagged a year and including an interaction between these two terms. A SGI greater than 1.0 indicates greater than average growth while one less than 1.0 lower than average. Number of individuals = 29, number of individual-years = 228. Serial correlation = 0.363, as estimated in the model. R^2 based on weighted correlation = 0.803.

Figure 4. Observed and fitted mean growth rate (\pm 2 standard errors,) for *Neptunea*, based on the linear mixed modeling, for predictors two year running mean of summer air temperature, two year running mean lagged year of total Arctic ice the previous winter, the two year running mean of summer precipitation lagged a year and including an interaction of the first wo terms. A SGI greater than 1.0 indicates greater than average growth while one less than 1.0 lower than average. Number of individuals = 107, number of individual-years = 1484. Serial correlation = 0.384, as estimated in the model. R^2 based on weighted correlation = 0.793.







